

Changing the path to development in Papua New Guinea

-A new report by *ACT NOW! *Jubilee Australia and *Oakland Institute

Independence in 1975, Papua New Guinea's economic and encial development outcomes have not matched people's aspirations or government romises, Indeed, despite the abundance of its riches, PNG lags behind its Pacific neighbours on many important development indicators, Most people's quality of life has not improved, and the quality of basic government services like health and education has deteriorated.

and comprehensive biective review of the country's economic performance since

Independence in 1975, has ound this can, in large part, be attributed to the development th that has been followed by accessive governments, Rather han a people-centred approach, which was considered and vprumoted in the years leading up to Independence and embedded in the nation's Constitution. large-scale resource extraction has dominated among policy makers and been sold to the tpeople under the promise it will improve their lives.

In pursuit of this goal of rdevelopment by extraction, PNG has allowed some of the world's alargest mining, petroleum and nlogging companies onto its vshores to extract large quantities of gold, silver, copper, nickel, eoil, natural gas, tropical logs and tpalm oil.

v While there have been llsome positive developments, nparticularly in access to education tand li feexpectancy, the economic and social development that bas ebeen repeatedly promised has anot been delivered. Although it

is hard to get reliable scientific data on the standard of living. evidence shows that for most families, it has either stagnated or declined. Health services have not improved or have gone backwards. There is poor access to clean water, sanitation and electricity. Child malnutrition, preventable diseases and other health issues are widespread.

At the same time, it is PNG's traditional strengths in agricultural know-how and the continued resilience of the informal economies and clan kinship networks at the heart of village life that continue to sustain and support most of the population. In 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has once again illustrated this strength and

Scientific analysis reveals the strategy to rely on the large-scale extraction of natural resources has failed to improve people's lives for several reasons. Extractive industries like mining, oil and gas and industrial scale logging operate in small enclaves with little connection to the rest of the economy. Foreign companies take most of the profits offshore, and contribute relatively little to government revenues as they are adent at both tax avoidance and tax evasion.

The growth of these sectors has also been accompanied by poor governance, theft of public money, and corruption, which all take much needed funding away from health and education

Meanwhile, the extractive industries bave been allowed to externalise their enormous social and environmental costs.

PNG is now ranked as the largest exporter of tropical logs anywhere in the world, as a consequence it has already lost much of its accessible forests. This is a disaster for a country where forests constitute a crucial source of construction materials, food, and medicine for large parts of the population and play a vital role in sustaining local cultures, beliefs and community governance systems.

The pollution of land and waterways by waste from mines like those at Ok Tedi, Panguna. Poigera and Tolukuma has also had devastating consequences communities. compromising their access to fresh water, to food sources and to prime gardening land.

In addition to their high social, economic and environmental toll on the population. extractive operations involve widespread human rights abuses. Communities opposing extractive projects often face repression, threats and violence. When they have industries forced upon them, or when they consent to them in the name of empty promises that are never delivered, legitimate dissent and protests are often met with violence and abuses by police forces or private security guards.

A more positive future

A comprehensive and objective review of the above facts and figures makes it clear that it is urgent for PNG to change course and put people back at the centre of its development policies.

The country still has important assets, and the most important is its people. PNG has a mostly rural population, living on their own land with the skills and

ability to work, produce, trade, and innovate in a way that will unprove their lives and those of future generations. PNG's natural environment and its wealth of natural resources can continue to be the basis of people's livelihoods, and they can be further developed to improve economic and social

This is if they are managed by and for the people in a sustainable, responsible, and wise way.

The change of course requires significant policy shifts from the government, which should start by halting its attack on customary land tenure, which is the basis for vibrant local economies and the livelihood of most of the population.

The next step is to reject new large-scale resource extraction projects. In the forestry sector, a ban on round log exports is urgently needed. communities must be placed at the heart of future forest management. Downstream processing of sustainably and produced timber products should be the priority. Halting the expansion of oil palm is another priority that must come with public policy and investment in appropriate local agriculture that benefits farmers, feeds the country, and uses natural resources in a responsible way.

There are hopeful signs that the government is beginning to understand the problem and is starting to shift in the right direction, but a much greauer, more consistent and whole-of government approach across multiple sectors is required.

*ACT NOW! is a community advocacy organisation based in Papua New Guinea. Its vision is for a 'gutpela sindaun blong olgeta' (a just and equitable society) that embraces PNG's rich and diverse cultural and biological heritage and is based on the principles of sharing, communal land ownership and environmental stewardship.

*Jubilee Australia (full name: The Jubilee Australia Research Centre) engages research and advocacy to promote economic justice for communities in the Asia Pacific region and accountability for Australian corporations and government agencies operating there.

*The Oakland Institute is an independent policy think tank bringing fresh ideas and bold action to the most pressing social, economic, and environmental issues.